Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 3862-22 Filed: 08/17/21 1 of 38. PageID #: 532235

## SJ-EXHIBIT 19

```
1
             IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
             FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 2.
                     EASTERN DIVISION
    IN RE: NATIONAL
                       : MDL No. 2804
    PRESCRIPTION OPTATE
                             : Case No. 17-md-2804
 4
    LITIGATION
 5
    APPLIES TO ALL CASES : Judge Dan Aaron Polster
 6
                    HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
 8
 9
         SUBJECT TO FURTHER CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
10
11
12
                     DECEMBER 13, 2018
13
14
      VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF HBC SERVICE COMPANY'S
15
            DESIGNATED 30(B)(6) REPRESENTATIVE,
16
                       JAMES TSIPAKIS,
17
    taken pursuant to notice, was held at Marcus & Shapira,
18
    One Oxford Center, 35th Floor, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
19
    15219, by and before Ann Medis, Registered Professional
20
    Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth
21
    of Pennsylvania, on Thursday, December 13, 2018,
22
    commencing at 9:09 a.m.
23
24
                GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
           877.370.3377 phone | 917.591.5672 fax
25
                       deps@golkow.com
```

- 1 A. From late 2009 to early 2016.
- 2 O. And to what businesses or entities did
- 3 HBC distribute controlled substances?
- 4 A. To our own stores, Giant Eagle
- 5 pharmacies.
- 6 Q. Did HBC distribute to any other
- 7 businesses, pharmacies, hospitals, physicians,
- 8 other than Giant Eagle stores?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. During the time period that HBC
- 11 distributed Schedule III controlled substances,
- 12 would it be accurate to say that opioids, and,
- more specifically, hydrocodone combination
- 14 products was one of the drugs that HBC
- 15 distributed?
- 16 A. HBC only distributed hydrocodone
- 17 products as a Schedule III. Once it was
- 18 reclassed, they no longer distributed those
- 19 products.
- 20 Q. So the answer is, yes, from 2009 until
- 21 200- -- or, excuse me, until hydrocodone was
- 22 reclassified, HBC did distribute hydrocodone
- 23 combination products?
- 24 A. From -- potentially from '09 through the
- 25 reclass, yes.

- 1 experts within Giant Eagle or HBC about the topics
- 2 that you needed to testify on today?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And as a result of that preparation, you
- 5 don't know whether or not HBC ever had a written
- 6 suspicious order monitoring policy or procedure in
- 7 place from 2006 through July 31, 2014; is that
- 8 correct?
- 9 MR. BARNES: Object to form. Asked and
- 10 answered about three times.
- Go ahead.
- 12 THE WITNESS: I couldn't find whether
- 13 there was or there wasn't written policies. For
- 14 that timeframe that you're asking me, I'll answer
- 15 it again, I don't know.
- 16 BY MR. GADDY:
- 17 Q. When did HBC begin distributing Schedule
- 18 III controlled substances?
- 19 A. HBC began distributing Schedule III in
- 20 late 2009.
- Q. And when did HBC stop distributing
- 22 Schedule III controlled substances? Let me back
- 23 up and reask that.
- When did HBC stop distributing hydrocodone
- 25 combination products that were rescheduled to

- 1 Schedule II?
- 2 A. The effective date of when hydrocodone
- 3 was rescheduled from class III to class II, which
- 4 I believe was around October of 2014.
- 5 Q. So HBC distributed hydrocodone
- 6 combination products from November 2009 through
- 7 approximately October 2014; correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And the first written suspicious order
- 10 monitoring policy or procedure that HBC is aware
- of went into effect August 1, 2014; correct?
- 12 A. I'll answer again that those are the
- 13 policies we were able -- I was able to find. I
- don't know if there was or there wasn't, but...
- Q. As far as you know, as far as HBC knows,
- 16 the first suspicious order monitoring policy or
- 17 procedure that they had was August 1, 2014?
- 18 A. Again, the first one that I'm able to
- 19 produce written was August 2014.
- 0. But it's more than that. It's the first
- one you know about that's written; correct?
- 22 A. It's the first written policy. It
- doesn't mean there wasn't others. I don't know.
- Q. It's the first written policy that you
- 25 know about?

- 1 the same policy as before, same effective date of
- 2 8/1/14. Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And if you look over there, this is now
- 5 the third version of the policy. This is updating
- 6 what we just looked at; right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And the date of this update is
- 9 February 26, 2016. Do you see that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. As far as I could tell, the only
- 12 substantive update here is under scope where it
- talks about who the policy applies to, and this is
- 14 the first time we see the acronym GERXDC.
- 15 Do you see that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 O. What does that mean?
- 18 A. It's the Giant Eagle RX DC.
- 19 Q. DC for distribution center?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. So is this entity a Giant Eagle entity?
- 22 A. It's owned by Giant Eagle, yes.
- Q. And is this entity licensed to
- 24 distribute Schedule II, III, IV and V narcotics?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And does it, in fact, distribute
- 2 Schedules II, III, IV and V narcotics to Giant
- 3 Eagle pharmacies?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And is this timeframe, February of 2016,
- 6 approximately when that facility went online?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Would this also be the time period that
- 9 HBC stopped distributing prescription medication
- 10 to Giant Eagle pharmacies?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And that's why if we look at who this
- applies to, we don't see anybody from HBC anymore?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 0. Go to -- I'm on .7 of the same document.
- 16 And this looks like a slightly different policy.
- 17 Again, this is a Giant Eagle document. Do you see
- 18 that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And the title listed is Order Monitoring
- 21 System Policy. Do you see that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And the effective date is February 2,
- 24 2017; is that correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 they pick up the bottle? Break it down for me,
- 2 please.
- 3 A. There's a system certainly that there's
- 4 an order well that generates what each store needs
- or has requested. And then there's assistance, a
- 6 device that they -- I don't know the exact name
- 7 for it, but there's certainly a warehouse
- 8 management system that they use. And it's
- 9 different slotting in the warehouse and they know
- 10 which slot to go to and how many to pick.
- 11 Q. And then what? They drop it in the tote
- 12 and put it on a truck?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And approximately -- let me back up.
- 15 How many different warehouses did HBC have
- that were responsible for distributing Schedule
- 17 III narcotics?
- 18 A. There's only one warehouse.
- 19 O. And what's the address for that
- 20 warehouse, for HBC's warehouse?
- 21 A. I can't give you the exact -- I don't
- 22 know the exact address.
- O. You know do the name of the road?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Approximately how many pickers would

- 1 are some of the things that were laid out in the
- 2 policies that we saw that Giant Eagle adopted in
- 3 2015 and -- I'm sorry -- 2014 and then 2015;
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Yes, but I will tell you these type of
- 6 inquiries would be happening all along as far as
- 7 from talking to investigative folks and the folks
- 8 that were involved. It would not be uncommon for
- 9 something to trigger and then someone from
- 10 corporate or the warehouse talking to the field.
- 11 So that's what you're seeing here.
- Q. Well, are you aware of any investigation
- 13 such as this that happened at any time from 2009
- 14 to 2014?
- 15 A. As far as written ones that I could find
- 16 in preparation?
- 17 O. Correct.
- 18 A. I didn't have any I could find.
- 19 Q. If you go to .20 of this same document,
- 20 we see an email from Jason Mullen. Do you see
- 21 that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 O. Who is Jason Mullen and what does he do?
- A. Jason was one of the folks that worked
- on the compliance team.

- 1 A. Can you ask that again?
- Q. You in getting ready for this deposition
- 3 haven't undertaken any personal investigation to
- 4 make sure all of these orders that totaled 10
- 5 million units to Cuyahoga County and 8 million to
- 6 Summit County were all valid orders, have you?
- 7 MR. BARNES: I object to form. Nor was
- 8 he required to do so.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I did not.
- MR. ROTTINGHAUS: I think that's all I
- 11 have.
- MR. BARNES: I have some.
- 13 EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MR. BARNES:
- Q. Mr. Tsipakis, you were asked some
- 16 questions about the so-called suspicious order
- 17 regulation of 1301.74(b). Do you remember that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Were you shown during your deposition at
- any time the security regulation in 1301.71 of the
- 21 Code of Federal Regulations?
- 22 A. I was not.
- Q. What do you understand the security
- 24 regulation to be?
- 25 A. The security regulation in its entirety

- 1 is meant to -- for a registrant to have proper
- 2 controls to prevent diversion and theft.
- Q. And do you understand that to be the
- 4 main requirement that distributors are supposed to
- 5 meet?
- 6 MR. GADDY: Object to the form.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 8 BY MR. BARNES:
- 9 Q. Did HBC meet that requirement at all
- 10 times?
- 11 A. Yes.
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- 13 BY MR. BARNES:
- Q. Did Giant Eagle meet that requirement if
- it was required to do so at all times?
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 18 BY MR. BARNES:
- 19 Q. Now, do you understand that the one part
- of the regulation that was shown to you, the
- 21 1301.74, the one that says design and operate a
- 22 system to disclose to the registrant suspicious
- 23 orders of controlled substances, do you understand
- 24 that to be the only factor taken into
- 25 consideration for the security requirement?

- 1 MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: It's not the only factor,
- 3 no.
- 4 BY MR. BARNES:
- 5 O. What are some of the other factors?
- 6 A. Physical security, record keeping,
- 7 basically multiple controls at all levels in the
- 8 distribution chain.
- 9 Q. So it's not a simple question of meeting
- 10 the security requirement. Just go 1301.74(b) and
- 11 say, well, did you meet this requirement. It's
- 12 more a comprehensive requirement; is that
- 13 accurate?
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- 15 THE WITNESS: It's a much more
- 16 comprehensive process that's needed. That's one
- 17 piece of a total system.
- 18 BY MR. BARNES:
- 19 Q. And is it your understanding under the
- 20 security requirement regulation that you're
- 21 supposed to look at the registrant's overall
- 22 security system, or do you just look at the piece
- 23 called suspicious order monitoring system?
- A. The overall system, the overall system,
- 25 a lot of different factors is listed on the type

- of controls that are distributed, the type of
- 2 chemicals, et cetera. So there's a whole host of
- 3 things that go into that.
- 4 Q. You told us under questioning by
- 5 plaintiffs' counsel that HBC was never a
- 6 controlled substance II facility; is that correct?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- Q. Are those the most dangerous drugs -- in
- 9 terms of the DEA hierarchy, you have controlled Is
- 10 which are what?
- 11 A. Controlled Is, marijuana, peyota,
- 12 et cetera. Those are list I chemicals that
- typically are not in the purview of retail
- 14 pharmacists.
- 15 O. Would it be fair to say those are
- 16 illegal drugs. Nobody is supposed to use them at
- 17 any time for any purpose?
- MR. GADDY: Objection. Form.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 20 BY MR. BARNES:
- Q. And then below that you get into
- 22 controlled substance Schedule II; is that correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. Is that where most opioids exist and
- 25 have always existed in that category?

- 1 Prescription opioids I'm talking about.
- 2 MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 4 BY MR. BARNES:
- 5 Q. The one exception for purposes of our
- 6 case is the HCP products; is that right?
- 7 A. That were later reclassified, yes.
- Q. When HBC distributed that, it only
- 9 distributed it when it was classified as Schedule
- 10 III; is that correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. So when determining compliance with the
- 13 security requirement, did HBC take into account
- 14 that it was never a controlled substance II
- 15 distributor?
- MR. GADDY: Objection. Form.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 18 BY MR. BARNES:
- 19 Q. Did HBC also consider the quantity of
- 20 the controlled substances it was handling when it
- 21 designed its overall security system?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 O. Did it consider its internal controls
- over the receipt, manufacture, distribution and
- 25 disposition of the controlled substances it was

- 1 handling?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Did it consider the physical security
- 4 facilities that it had?
- 5 A. Of course, yes.
- 6 Q. While HBC was distributing controlled
- 7 substance IIIs, IVs and Vs, did it get visited
- 8 frequently by the DEA to perform audits and other
- 9 inspections?
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. It was visited by
- 12 the DEA, yes.
- 13 BY MR. BARNES:
- Q. Did the DEA ever say to HBC you're not
- 15 meeting the security requirement under the
- 16 regulations?
- 17 MR. GADDY: Objection. Form.
- THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge, no.
- 19 BY MR. BARNES:
- Q. Are you aware of any DEA regulation that
- 21 says you're supposed to use a threshold-based
- 22 system to monitor for suspicious orders?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Are you aware of any DEA regulation that
- 25 requires you to set a threshold at any level?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Now, we're talking about or we covered
- 3 in your direct testimony the fact that Giant Eagle
- 4 had two separate warehouses, is that correct, the
- 5 HBC warehouse and then the GE RX warehouse?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And are they both separately licensed by
- 8 the DEA?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Are they both separate physical
- 11 facilities?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And unlike HBC, which only had a license
- 14 for IIIs, IVs and V, did the GE RX facility also
- do some Schedule IIs?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And do both warehouses only and have
- 18 they only ever supplied Giant Eagle pharmacies?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. Did either warehouse ever supply an
- 21 internet pharmacy?
- 22 A. No.
- O. Are you aware of the DEA's efforts for
- 24 many years in the late '08, '09, '10 period with
- 25 respect to internet pharmacies?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Can you just briefly summarize those for
- 3 us?
- 4 MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Certainly there was a lot
- of pop-up pharmacies on the internet that the DEA
- 7 was cracking down on and certainly there wasn't a
- 8 valid patient/prescriber relationship, and the DEA
- 9 had ramped up regulatory efforts against those to
- 10 curb them or shut them down.
- 11 BY MR. BARNES:
- 12 Q. Did HBC or Giant Eagle at any time ever
- 13 supply an internet pharmacy at any time?
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: No.
- 16 BY MR. BARNES:
- 17 Q. Now, with respect to the physical
- 18 structure of the HBC warehouse, did you have a
- 19 locked cage?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Was there controlled access to that
- locked cage?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Was that locked cage inspected and
- approved by the DEA?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Was admittance to the locked cage
- 3 limited to only certain personnel?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Was there limited entry for the number
- 6 of personnel?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. What was that number, do you recall?
- 9 A. Three or four individuals only.
- 10 Q. Were they using any type of digital
- 11 inventory system with scanners and wrist bands and
- 12 things of that nature while they were inside the
- 13 controlled substance locked area?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Do you know the name of that system?
- 16 A. I believe Volcom. I think it's Volcom.
- 17 Q. Can you spell that, please?
- 18 A. V-O-L-C-O-M.
- 19 Q. And is that system a form of a perpetual
- 20 inventory system?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Is that a type of internal control at
- 23 the warehouse?
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: Sure, yes.

- 1 BY MR. BARNES:
- 2 O. The controlled substance orders that
- were picked at the warehouse, the HBC warehouse,
- 4 were they doublechecked before shipping?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Were there physical safeguards to
- 7 prevent theft and diversion at that warehouse?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Even while picking the orders?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Can you just describe a few of them?
- 12 A. So there would be daily audits,
- 13 backcounts. The system would make sure that all
- of the inventory would tie up.
- 15 Q. So if there was any product missing,
- would it be found fairly promptly?
- 17 A. Oh, yes.
- Q. Was there a daily warehouse inventory
- 19 for controlled substances?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Were there security guards and cameras
- 22 throughout the facility?
- A. Yes, multiple.
- Q. Besides the daily inventories, were
- 25 their yearly inventories and biannual DEA

- 1 inventories?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Was the warehouse overseen by the Giant
- 4 Eagle audit and accounting department?
- 5 A. Sure, yes.
- 6 Q. You were asked a lot of questions about
- 7 due diligence performed in the 2009 to 2013 time
- 8 period. In fact, Exhibit 12 you were shown a few
- 9 minutes ago and you were asked whether you could
- 10 identify specific investigations for line items on
- 11 these reports.
- Do you recall those questions?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. How many transactions like that are we
- 15 talking about in any given -- any given month and
- 16 year?
- 17 A. Thousands, millions, many items.
- 18 Q. And you can't remember every one of
- 19 them?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. And you didn't attempt to memorize every
- one of them in preparation for your deposition?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Have you ever heard of the term CSOS
- 25 ordering system?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Is that something that was used for the
- 3 warehouse facilities?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. When did that program start being used?
- 6 A. I believe 2015.
- 7 Q. Does that program have the ability to
- 8 stop an order if it exceeds a threshold?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Are you familiar with the Supply Logic
- 11 software program?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Is that another program that Giant Eagle
- 14 used at these warehouses?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And what did that allow Giant Eagle or
- 17 the warehouses to do?
- 18 A. It would allow for us to see the ins and
- 19 outs of inventory and flag anything that had any
- 20 risk or things to look at out of the ordinary.
- Q. Is that a form of an internal control?
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 24 BY MR. BARNES:
- Q. Was that part of the overall security

- 1 system that HBC considered when it was trying to
- 2 comply and complying with the security
- 3 requirement?
- 4 MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. More further, they
- 6 would look at patterns. They would look at pretty
- 7 holistically the patterns and any deviations.
- 8 BY MR. BARNES:
- 9 Q. You're a pharmacist; is that correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What kind of degrees in pharmacy do you
- 12 have?
- 13 A. Bachelor of science in pharmacy.
- Q. And were you a practicing pharmacist in
- 15 a store for a period of time?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Was that for a different chain,
- 18 Albertsons?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Are you familiar with dispensing
- 21 practices and things of that nature?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. In your direct testimony upon
- 24 questioning by Mr. Gaddy, you referenced this
- integrated control system, and you referenced

- 1 three parts to it, at the warehouse, at corporate
- 2 and at the stores. Do you recall that testimony?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 O. At the stores are there internal
- 5 controls over controlled substances?
- 6 A. Sure, yes.
- 7 O. Are there physical controls over
- 8 controlled substances?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Does that include vaults -- I'm sorry --
- 11 not vaults, but safes and things of that nature?
- 12 A. Locked cabinets and safes, yes.
- O. And who's allowed access to those locked
- 14 cabinets and safes?
- 15 A. Only the pharmacist.
- Q. Does Giant Eagle have a mechanism to
- 17 train pharmacists to keep tight control over
- 18 controlled substances?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And is that monitored by loss prevention
- 21 and internal audit?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And are pharmacists and pharmacy techs
- 24 trained and supervised?
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 2 BY MR. BARNES:
- Q. Does Giant Eagle at the store level
- 4 impose policies and procedures on the pharmacists
- 5 and the pharmacy techs with respect to dispensing
- 6 prescriptions?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Are you familiar with the DEA pharmacist
- 9 manual?
- 10 A. Of course, yes.
- 11 Q. Is that something that's kept at every
- 12 Giant Eagle pharmacy?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And are the pharmacists required to
- 15 review it and sign off on it?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Does Giant Eagle have controlled
- 18 substance dispensing guidelines?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do those guidelines include red flags,
- 21 things to watch for in terms of whether a
- 22 prescription is legitimate or not?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And are they required to review those
- and sign off that they've been trained on it and

- 1 understand them?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. And are all of Giant Eagle's pharmacists
- 4 licensed pharmacists with experience?
- 5 MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 7 BY MR. BARNES:
- Q. Are there other manuals containing
- 9 policies at the store level related to controlled
- 10 substance other than the DEA pharmacist manual and
- 11 the controlled substance dispensing guidelines?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And do those policies include controls
- 14 over all controlled substances?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Do the stores interface with any
- 17 statewide systems to make sure that incoming
- 18 prescriptions are legitimate?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. In the state of Ohio, is there a name
- 21 for that system?
- 22 A. Sure. It's the prescription drug
- 23 monitoring program, the OARRS program.
- Q. And is that something that the
- 25 pharmacists are trained to consult?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Will that provide some information about
- 3 things like doctor shopping and people coming in
- 4 from out of state, things of that nature?
- 5 MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 7 BY MR. BARNES:
- Q. And do Giant Eagle pharmacists use that
- 9 system?
- 10 A. Regularly, yes.
- 11 Q. Is the activity at the store level
- 12 reported to the DEA in terms of prescriptions
- 13 filled?
- 14 A. Yes, yes.
- 15 Q. Is the DEA -- does the DEA from time to
- 16 time visit the stores?
- 17 A. Sure, yes.
- 18 Q. Do they perform surprise audits and
- 19 things of that nature?
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Audits or if they're
- 22 coming in for investigations or other things that
- they're working on, sure, yes.
- 24 BY MR. BARNES:
- Q. Do of the boards of pharmacy of the

- 1 states also interface with the stores?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Does the Ohio Board of Pharmacy
- 4 interface with the Giant Eagle stores in these two
- 5 counties at issue?
- 6 MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 8 BY MR. BARNES:
- 9 Q. Do they perform surprise audits and
- 10 inspections?
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Absolutely, yes.
- 13 BY MR. BARNES:
- 14 Q. To your knowledge, has there ever been a
- problem raised by the DEA or the Ohio Board of
- 16 Pharmacy related to any of the Giant Eagle
- 17 pharmacies in these two jurisdictions?
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge, no.
- 20 BY MR. BARNES:
- Q. Are there controls over incoming orders
- into the stores, including orders from the other
- 23 distributors? McKesson, I quess, was the main
- 24 distributor of controlled substance IIs for this
- 25 time period; is that correct?

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. And when those came into the stores,
- 3 were there special procedures over those incoming
- 4 orders?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Were they treated differently than other
- 7 incoming orders?
- 8 A. Absolutely, yes.
- 9 Q. Give us some samples of that.
- 10 A. So those orders would need to be checked
- in by a pharmacist, signed off on the pharmacist.
- 12 Right away when the couriers would drop off, it's
- 13 the expectation that the pharmacist would -- it
- 14 would be segregated. They come in different totes
- and they're handled differently. And any
- 16 discrepancies are immediately noted or called in.
- 17 Q. Is the pharmacist required to
- immediately input -- update the store's controlled
- 19 substance inventory for incoming orders?
- 20 A. Their onions?
- 21 Q. Yes.
- 22 A. Yes.
- O. And when controlled substance
- 24 prescriptions are filled, is the inventory, the
- 25 store inventory immediately credited for the

- 1 outgoing prescription?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. At the end of the day, is there a check
- 4 of the remaining balance of controlled substances
- 5 at the store?
- 6 A. Yes, and especially even more so on
- 7 CIIs. They're backcounted on every fill.
- Q. What does it mean to backcount every
- 9 fill?
- 10 A. The system will prompt for how many
- 11 pills are left in the bottle. So if you had a
- 12 hundred pills to start and you filled 50, you
- 13 would expect to have 50 left in that bottle. So
- 14 the backcount would be to ensure that you had 50
- 15 left in that bottle and inputting that that you do
- 16 have, in fact, 50.
- 17 Q. Are you familiar with the term monthly
- 18 narc audit?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. What is that?
- 21 A. The requirement that all of our
- 22 pharmacies do a full inventory of CII narcotics in
- 23 our stores and some other products as well, not
- just can CIIs, but some CIIIs.
- 25 Q. So you have the daily perpetual

- 1 inventory and the monthly narc audits?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You also have the annual audits or
- 4 inventories of controlled substances at every
- 5 store?
- 6 A. The DEA requires an biannual inventory.
- 7 We do an annual inventory on top, yes. We do a
- 8 yearly inventory instead of biannual.
- 9 Q. Can you tell us what a PDL is?
- 10 A. PDL is a pharmacy district leader.
- 11 Q. And what do they do?
- 12 A. They supervise the stores. They're
- 13 basically a district manager that oversees the
- 14 stores for all aspects of ensuring Pharmacy
- 15 Practice Act, DEA, company policy. They're the
- 16 oversight for the stores, direct oversight for the
- 17 stores.
- Q. Do they regularly visit the stores?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do they conduct audits or inquiries
- 21 concerning their procedures and things of that
- 22 nature?
- 23 A. They do audits. We also have an
- 24 internal audit that quarterly visits the stores
- 25 for a myriad of things, but yes.

- 1 Q. Is there any supervision of training of
- pharmacists?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Is that something a PDL does?
- 5 A. A PDL would definitely make sure any
- 6 training that needs to be done or computer-based
- 7 training is completed, and if there's any
- 8 remediation that's needed, that's their job to
- 9 make sure.
- 10 Q. Do the stores work with local law
- 11 enforcement, police, board of pharmacy inspectors,
- 12 DEA agents?
- 13 A. Oh, yes, all the time.
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- 15 BY MR. BARNES:
- 16 Q. Is that cooperative working
- 17 relationship?
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: Very much so, yes.
- 20 BY MR. BARNES:
- 21 Q. In working with local law enforcement
- 22 and DEA, have you been able to uncover people
- 23 attempting to pass bad scripts, things of that
- 24 nature?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Is there a pharmacy investigator?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 4 A. Rick Shaheen.
- 5 Q. If how much experience does he have?
- 6 A. He has a lot of experience. He has a
- 7 background in law enforcement, attorneys general's
- 8 office, a very -- has a lot of contacts with DEA,
- 9 boards of pharmacy. So he's been -- he's been in
- 10 the business a long time.
- 11 Q. Does he spend a lot of time in the
- 12 stores?
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 15 BY MR. BARNES:
- Q. Does he also work individually with
- 17 local law enforcement and DEA?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Are you familiar with the term or the
- 20 acronym BOLO, B-O-L-O?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. What is it?
- 23 A. Be on the lookout for. So he will send
- out bulletins to the pharmacists when either law
- 25 enforcement will tell him that there's bad scripts

- on the street or a prescription pad, for example,
- 2 if it's stolen or something, either if we have
- 3 information -- so Rick is involved with -- Rick
- 4 and Andrew, who work for Rick, are involved with
- 5 all of those activities and alert our stores as
- 6 soon as they know something and we disseminate
- 7 very quickly to all our stores.
- Q. And is that the type of information
- 9 that's also in the OARRS database, or is that
- 10 different?
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Different.
- 13 BY MR. BARNES:
- Q. Are there daily counts of certain drugs?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Does that include HCP, hydrocodone, or
- 17 HCP products?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Is there an electronic prescription
- 20 system with perpetual logs at the stores?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 O. Is that a form of internal control?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Is there diversion training for pharmacy
- 25 employees on a yearly basis?

- 1 MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 3 BY MR. BARNES:
- 4 Q. Now, you were asked a lot of questions
- 5 about so-called suspicious orders. And I didn't
- 6 hear a lot of questioning about diversion.
- 7 Do you understand the term diversion?
- 8 MR. GADDY: Objection to the question,
- 9 form of the question.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 11 BY MR. BARNES:
- 12 O. What does the term diversion mean to
- 13 you?
- 14 A. Diversion, theft, loss, things being
- 15 routed to folks that shouldn't have access to the
- 16 drugs or prescriptions.
- 17 Q. If an order is suspicious, does that
- 18 mean it was diverted?
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: No, not necessarily, no.
- 21 BY MR. BARNES:
- 0. In fact, what has HBC's and Giant
- 23 Eagle's experience been with respect to so-called
- 24 suspicious orders or flagged orders? Have they
- 25 resulted in uncovering diversion?

- 1 MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: No.
- 3 BY MR. BARNES:
- Q. What happens to -- you were asked
- 5 questions on Exhibit 15 of these 10 million dosage
- 6 units in Cuyahoga County. What happened to those
- 7 10 million units? Where did they go?
- 8 MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Assuming those were the 10
- 10 million units that we dispensed out of HBC, they
- 11 were dispensed to patients that were pursuant --
- 12 on a valid prescription.
- 13 BY MR. BARNES:
- Q. And how about the dosage units that went
- out to Summit County presuming that these numbers
- 16 are correct?
- MR. GADDY: Same objection.
- 18 THE WITNESS: The same. Every single
- one of them would have been dispensed pursuant to
- 20 a prescription by a licensed practitioner for
- 21 those patients.
- 22 BY MR. BARNES:
- 23 O. In your direct questioning today, were
- 24 you shown at any time any evidence, any document,
- any piece of paper by plaintiffs' counsel

- 1 suggesting that any single one of these
- 2 prescriptions was anything other than a legitimate
- 3 prescription issued by a doctor who had a
- 4 legitimate license to issue it?
- 5 MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: No.
- 7 BY MR. BARNES:
- 8 Q. Were you shown any evidence at any time
- 9 that any of these prescriptions caused anybody any
- 10 harm at any time in any jurisdiction?
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- 12 THE WITNESS: No.
- 13 BY MR. BARNES:
- Q. Did the DEA at any time inform HBC or
- 15 Giant Eagle that it was required to keep records
- of every call, every conversation that was made
- 17 with respect to following up on orders of
- 18 interest?
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: No.
- 21 BY MR. BARNES:
- 22 O. Did HBC and Giant Eagle keep those
- records and emails in other types of files?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Is Giant Eagle's integrated system

- 1 designed to maintain the integrity of the closed
- 2 system of distribution from incoming at the
- 3 warehouse to outgoing at the stores?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- 6 BY MR. BARNES:
- 7 Q. The system that was designed by Giant
- 8 Eagle, did you expect it to ever produce a
- 9 suspicious order?
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- 11 THE WITNESS: No.
- 12 BY MR. BARNES:
- Q. Before you had the threshold-based
- 14 system, you've already testified, I think, there
- 15 was one suspicious order?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And after you had the threshold-based
- 18 system, you had one suspicious order?
- 19 A. One more, yes.
- Q. What does that tell you?
- 21 MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: That we had adequate
- 23 controls from the beginning. Adding more layers
- of controls didn't materially change the outcome
- of the system we had in place.

- 1 BY MR. BARNES:
- 2 Q. Do you understand that the plaintiffs in
- 3 this case are the City of Cleveland, City of Akron
- 4 and the counties of Summit and Cuyahoga?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. To your knowledge, did any of those
- 7 plaintiffs ever approach Giant Eagle or HBC and
- 8 say, we think we have a problem, we'd like your
- 9 assistance, or this doctor is bad or there's a
- 10 pill mill down the street, don't fill these
- 11 prescriptions? Did they ever do anything like
- 12 that?
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- 14 THE WITNESS: No; not to my knowledge,
- 15 no.
- 16 BY MR. BARNES:
- 17 Q. The employees that work in the Giant
- 18 Eagle pharmacies in these jurisdictions, do they
- 19 live in those communities?
- 20 A. Yes.
- MR. GADDY: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: Absolutely, yes.
- MR. BARNES: I've got no further
- 24 questions.
- 25 RE-EXAMINATION